

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations  
and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**


Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,  
please do not report the images to the  
Image Problem Mailbox.**



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Reply Application of:  
Robert James Johnston

Serial No.: 10/040,023

Art Unit: 2817

Filing Date: January 3, 2002

Examiner: Shingleton, Michael

For: CAPACITOR CURRENT MULTIPLIER FOR  
CAPACITIVE FEEDBACK CIRCUIT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
Attn: Official Draftsperson

**SUBMISSION OF INTERVIEW SUMMARY**


Dear Sir:

In response to the Notice of Allowability mailed 11/18/2003 enclosed herewith for review is the Interview Summary conducted on February 12, 2004 with Examiner Michael B. Shingleton in the above-referenced patent application. It was agreed upon that the drawings dated 7/28/2003 are acceptable to the examiner and accordingly there is no need to submit an additional set of formal drawings.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: February 18, 2004

  
Edwin H. Taylor  
Reg. No. 25,129

12400 Wilshire Blvd., 7th FL  
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026  
(408) 720-8300


**FIRST CLASS CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231 on

February 18, 2004 by: T.J. Delgado.

  
T.J. Delgado

  
Date 2/18/04



# Interview Summary

Application No.

10/040,023

Applicant(s)

JOHNSTON, ROBERT  
JAMES

Examiner

Michael B. Shingleton

Art Unit

2817

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael B. Shingleton.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Thomas Ferrill.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: ~~12 February 2004~~ 2-12-04Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: n/a.Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Drawings dated 7-28-2003 are acceptable to the examiner and accordingly there is no need to submit an additional set of formal drawings.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Michael B. Shingleton  
Examiner's signature, if required